

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/922,412	08/03/2001	Robert W. Cantwell	5624.24-2	7272	
23559	7590 03/25/2005	EXAMINER		INER	
MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF & HARR, P.C.			ROBERTS	ROBERTS, BRIAN S	
INTELLECTU	JAL PROPERTY DOC	KET CLERK			
1445 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX	75202-2790		2662		

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/922,412	CANTWELL, ROBERT W.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian Roberts	2662			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 A</u>	lugust 2001.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 August 2001</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) accepted or b) dobjected edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>& 2/06/03</u> 05/28/2 ₀₀₀ 2 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/922,412 Page 2

Art Unit: 2662

Claims 1-22 have been examined.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because: in Figure 3, "TAGGED HAC FRAME" should read "TAGGED MAC FRAME". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2662

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-13, 16-19, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell et al. in view of Baun et al.
- 4. Russell et al. teaches in figure 9, an Ethernet switch (904) receiving data from a plurality of Ethernet ports (claim 1, 2, 9, 10, 17, 18 Switch receiving data from Ethernet ports) connected to a synchronous terminal multiplexer (903). Russell et al. teaches the synchronous terminal multiplexer being a SONET multiplexer (column 7 lines 1-2) that uses time division multiplexing to multiplex the data into a serial data stream into a SONET optical signal for transport over an optical fiber communications link (claim 1, 4, 7, 9, 13, 15, 17, 21 multiplexer converts serial data stream into a SONET optical signal) (Figure 1, column 6 lines 5-13, column 7 lines 6-21). Russell et al teaches an SDH or SONET (column 6 lines 48-64) payload mapper 204 for mapping of the Ethernet frames into one or more SDH or SONET payloads. (claim 3, 11, 17 multiplex data from ports into a single SONET synchronous payload envelope) (column 7 lines 40-43)

Russell et al. does not teach using a switch to insert a unique port identifier in the header or the VID data field of a tagged MAC frame of the data from each port to identify the source port of the data. Russell et al. does not teach routing the data via the unique port identifier, a MAC address and IP address in the data. Russell et al. does not

Application/Control Number: 09/922,412

Art Unit: 2662

teach using a subscriber access multiplexer operable to receive the single serial data stream.

Baun et al. teaches "aggregating physical connections from customers presentation to an access router and de-aggregating traffic from a shared link(s) from the access router. Ports of an aggregation unit may be configured such that each has a unique identifier in the place of information (e.g., the layer 2 address) originally in the layer 2 header." (abstract) "When a packet is received from a customer, information in the layer 2 header is changed to a unique identifier assigned to a logical port or interface associated with the physical port. When a packet is received from the access router, it is placed on the port assigned to the logical port associated with the destination layer 2 address" (claim 1, 9, 15, 17, 21 - insert a unique port identifier in the header of the data from each port to identify the source port of the data). (Figure 13, abstract) Baun et al. teaches inserting the port identifier "in a form of an existing layer 2 (e.g., MAC) address or some other unique bits (or context information) in the place of, or in addition to the layer 2 address." (claim 6, 12, 19 - insert the unique port identifier into the a VID data field of a tagged MAC frame) (column 15 lines 56-60, Figure 13) Baun et al. teaches using the unique port identifier, a MAC address, and an IP address in the data to route the data. (claim 5, 7, 8, 14-16, 20-22 – route data via unique port identifier, a MAC address and IP address in the data) (Figure 30, column 19 lines 4-36) Baun et al. further teaches the use of an ATM port switch for routing and a digital subscriber access multiplexer to inherently receive the data stream from another

Application/Control Number: 09/922,412

Art Unit: 2662

multiplexer. (column 7 lines 17-32) (claim 5, 7, 8, 14, 15, 20, 21 – subscriber access multiplexer operable to receive the single serial data stream from multiplexer)

Page 5

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the equipment and method of Russell et al. by using a switch to insert a unique port identifier in the header of the data frame, using a digital subscriber access multiplexer to receive the single serial data stream, and using the unique port identifier, a MAC address, and an IP address in the data to route the data. Inserting the unique port identifier and then using it along with the MAC address, and an IP address would have allowed for a more efficient use of bandwidth when transmitting data over a SONET having a plurality of frame based data customers. Furthermore, it is known in the art for a subscriber access multiplexer to receive a single serial data stream consisting of multiplexed frame based data in a SONET/Ethernet environment.

5. Claims 5, 7 14, 15, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell et al. in view of Baun et al., and further in view of Hayward et al.

The combination of Russell et al. and Baun et al. as discussed in section 4 above shows the limitations claimed except for Russell e al. and Baun et al. do not teach the complete method of using a subscriber access multiplexer at a SONET node to receive the serial data from the multiplexer at another SONET node and demultiplexing the serial data stream into data for each port.

Hayward et al. teaches receiving SONET payloads inherently using a subscriber access multiplexer transported over a SONET channel through optical fiber from SONET nodes at another SONET node (claim 5, 7, 14, 15, 20, 21 – subscriber access multiplex receiving serial data stream from multiplexer) (Figure 2, column 4 lines 22-40) and removing the Ethernet data packets from one or more SONET payloads inherently involving demultiplexing the payload envelope (claim 5, 7, 14, 15, 20, 21 – demultiplexing the serial data stream into data from each port) (column 4 lines 66-67, column 5 lines 1-5).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the combination of Russell et al. and Baun et al. to include the method of using a subscriber access multiplexer at a SONET node for receive the serial data from the multiplexer at another SONET node and demultiplexing the serial data stream at the destination SONET node. This would have allowed for the framed based data transmitted over the SONET to be efficiently received at the destination SONET node and demultiplexed from the SONET payload envelope so it could be switched to the appropriate port within the network.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure are US 6,014,708 to Klish, US 6598088 B1 to Lynch et al., US 6,788,681 to Hurren et al., US 2002/0110124 A1 to Fujita, and US 2002/0159438 A1 to Rumer. Klish pertains to mapping a fast Ethernet payload input signal to a synchronous payload envelope. Lynch pertains to the processing of a frame receive via a port switch.

Application/Control Number: 09/922,412

Art Unit: 2662

Hurren et al. pertains to the connection of a LAN to a SONET involving MAC and port

Page 7

addresses. Fujita pertains to a multiplexing/demultiplexing method and apparatus in an

access network system. Rumer pertains to an Ethernet based TDM switch.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian Roberts whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3095. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BSR

hassan kizou

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600